

Nullabor

Hadley Wickham

- 1. Project 3
- 2. Nullabor package
- 3. Line up
- 4. Rorschach

Project 3

Review article

By writing your annotated bibliography, you should have gained an understanding of the key papers in your topic area.

The aim of your review article is make it easy for someone else to gain that understand without reading all the papers.


```
install.packages("nullabor")
library(nullabor)
library(ggplot2)
```

Most important methods are

```
# * lineup()
```

```
# * rorschach()
```

#

Works best in conjunction with ggplot2

```
qplot(mpg, wt, data = mtcars)
```

```
# Replace original data
qplot(mpg, wt, data = mtcars) %+%
lineup(null_permute("mpg"), mtcars)
```

```
# Display different samples
qplot(mpg, wt, data = mtcars) %+%
lineup(null_permute("mpg"), mtcars) +
facet_wrap(~ .sample)
```

```
# Or with ggplot2
inf <- lineup(null_permute("mpg"), mtcars)
ggplot(inf, aes(mpg, wt)) +
  geom_point() +
  facet_wrap(~ .sample)
```

Rorschach

nullabor provides a rorschach method but it's not # that useful unless you really do want to show some # real data occasionally. (Plus rorschach is really # hard to spell)

```
#
```

Usually easier to generate random data yourself
with rdply()

rdply(5, data.frame(x = runif(5)))

Use the Rorschach to explore the distribution of uniform random numbers.

What does a histogram of 100 numbers with a binwidth of 0.1 look like? Is it more or less variable than you expect?

Explore larger numbers and different binwidths.

```
unif <- rdply(10, data.frame(x = runif(100)))
qplot(x, data = unif, binwidth = 0.1) +
facet_wrap(~ .n)</pre>
```

I in eup

Focus in on shots in the typical range threept <- subset(threept, r > 20 & r < 39)</pre>

```
qplot(x, y, data = threept) + coord_equal()
```

```
qplot(x, y, data = threept) %+%
lineup(null_permute("y"), threept) +
facet_wrap(~ .sample) +
coord_equal()
```

Incredibly obvious!

```
qplot(x, y, data = threept) + coord_equal()
```



Incredibly obvious!





Incredibly obvious!

qplot(angle, r, data = threept)

Obviously not independent, but is the underlying
model quadratic?

qplot(angle, r, data = threept) %+%
lineup(null_lm(r ~ poly(angle, 2)), threept) +
facet_wrap(~ .sample)

Would you reject the null hypothesis that the # relationship between radius and angle is # quadratic?

Experiment with variations of the plot that deal with the overplotting problem. Do you change your mind?

To make things easier, I'd start by generating a smaller set of nulls to practice with. Use the n argument to lineup.

samps <- lineup(null_lm(r ~ poly(angle, 2)),
 threept, n = 5)</pre>

```
ggplot(samps, aes(angle, r)) +
  geom_point(alpha = 0.5) +
  facet_wrap(~ .sample)
```

```
ggplot(samps, aes(angle, r)) +
  geom_boxplot(aes(group = round_any(angle, 15))) +
  facet_wrap(~ .sample)
```

```
samp_sum <- ddply(samps,</pre>
  c(".sample", angle = "round_any(angle, 15)"),
  summarise,
  angle = mean(range(angle)),
  lower = quantile(r, 0.25),
  upper = quantile(r, 0.75),
  sd = sd(r)
ggplot(samp_sum, aes(angle)) +
  geom_ribbon(aes(ymin = lower, ymax = upper)) +
  facet_wrap(~ .sample)
ggplot(samp_sum, aes(angle, sd)) +
  geom_line() +
```

```
facet_wrap(~ .sample)
```

Does position on the court influence the chance of success?

Figure out a good way of displaying this, and then test with visual inference.

```
threept$result <- factor(threept$result)
qplot(x, y, data = threept, colour = result)
qplot(angle, data = threept, fill = result, position
= "fill")
qplot(r, data = threept, fill = result, position =
"fill")</pre>
```

```
qplot(r, data = threept, fill = result,
    position = "fill", binwidth = 1)
```

```
qplot(r, fill = result,
   data = lineup(null_permute("result"), threept),
   position = "fill", binwidth = 1) +
   facet_wrap(~ .sample)
```

Download the source code for nullabor from CRAN. How do null_permute and null_lm work?